

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

un

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/630,013	07/30/2003	Manabu Kai	FUSA 20.530	8513		
75	02/12/2004		EXAM	INER		
	n Zavis Rosenman	LEE, BE	LEE, BENNY T			
575 Madison A New York, NY			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
,			2817			
			DATE MAILED: 02/12/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

FILING DATE

ELBOT CARCOTTON (CAR)

ARTIONS ASS. SHARER

Britis Balling

. The expression diarge . . year appeals. The BRANCE THALSEMARKS.

☐ This	application ha	s been examined	Respons	ive to commu	inication filed on				This actio	n is made final.
		eriod for response n the period for re							the date o	of this letter.
Part I	THE FOLLOW	ING ATTACHMEN	IT(S) ARE PAR	T OF THIS A	CTION:					
1. [3. [5. [Notice of Ar	eferences Cited by t Cited by Applica on How to Effect I	nt, PTO-1449.		2. [4. [6. [Drawing, F al Patent A		Form PTO-152
Part II	SUMMARY O	F ACTION			•					
1. 5	Claims				-13				_ are pend	ing in the application.
	Of the	above, daims						a	e withdraw	n from consideration.
2.	Claims				· · ·				have be	en cancelled.
3,	Claims									
4.	Claims								are reje	
5.	_								are obje	
6. IZ	/		1	-13					are obje	on requirement.
7.	_	ion has been filed								
a [ings are required i				which are	acceptab	le for exan	nination pu	poses.
9.		d or substitute dra								
	are 🗆 acce	ptable; Inot a	ceptable (see e	xplanation or	Notice re Paten	t Drawing	, PTO-948	Unde:).	r 37 C.F.R.	1.84 these drawings
10.	The proposed examiner;	d additional or sul disapproved by	ostitute sheet(s) the examiner (se	of drawings, ee explanatio	filed on n).		has (ha	ve) been	☐ approv	ed by the
11.	The proposed	d drawing correction	on, filed		, has been	☐ appro	ved; □ di	sapproved	(see expla	nation)
12. 🗌	Acknowledge	ment is made of the in parent application	e claim for prior	ity under U.S	S.C. 119 The c	artified ~	ov boe 亡	been rece		ot been received
13.	Since this app	olication apppears	to be in condition	n for allower	ce except for for	mal matte				· ·
14. 🔲	Other			•	,		:	· · ·	•.	
	:		•			,		. 4		
	•		:		r	•	• •			
						:		 :		
	•	÷	•		2 × 1	.:•		•		
	# 1. 						A 1	•		

EXAMINER'S ACTION

SN 630013 U.S.GPO:1990-259-282

.PTOL-326 (Rev.9-89)

Art Unit: 2817

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species I: the embodiment of Fig. 6;

Species II: the embodiment of Fig. 7;

Species III: the embodiment of fig. 8;

Species IV: the embodiment of Fig. 11;

Species V: the embodiment of Fig. 15;

Species VI: the embodiment of Fig. 17.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1, 2, 5 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims

Art Unit: 2817

are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Benny Lee whose telephone number is 571 272 1764.

B. Lee

ART UNIT 2817